UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,437	11/30/2004	Scott Manzo	2843	2942	
Covidien	7590 06/05/200	00 06/05/2009		EXAMINER	
60 Middletown			HUANG, LIAN		
North Haven, CT 06473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/516,437	MANZO, SCOTT				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LIAN HUANG	3731				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>LIAN HUANG</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Frank Sardone</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 June 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>22</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Yencho et al. (US 6,206,913)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Agreement was made that clarification of the discrete locking member is necessary to begin to overcome the teachings of Yencho et al. Amendments will require further search and reconsideration to determine patentability.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/L. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/	nit 3731				

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731